- 5. Portaels F, Elsen P, Guimaraes-Peres A, Fonteyne PA, Meyers WM. Insects in the transmission of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection. Lancet. 1999;353:986.
- Marsollier L, Robert R, Aubry J, Saint-André JP, Kouakou H, Legras P, et al. Aquatic insect as a vector for *Mycobacterium ulcerans*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68:4623–8.
- Menke AS. The semiaquatic and aquatic Hemiptera of California. Bulletin of the California Insect Survey; Volume 21. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1979.
- Kotlowski R, Martin A, Ablordey A, Chemlal A, Fonteyne PA, Portaels F. Onetube cell lysis and DNA extraction procedure for PCR-based detection of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in aquatic insects, mollusks and fish. J Med Microbiol. 2004;53:5679–81.
- Barker DJP, Carswell JW. Mycobacterium ulcerans infection among tsetse control workers in Uganda. Int J Epid. 1973;2:161–5.

Address for correspondence: Francoise Portaels, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Nationalestraat 155, Antwerpen 2000, Belgium; fax: 3232476333; email: portaels@itg.be

Mycobacterium tuberculosis Drug Resistance, Abkhazia

To the Editor: Drug-resistant tuberculosis (TB) has been identified as a major problem in the former Soviet Union, and was recently surveyed in the Aral Sea regions of Dashoguz (Turkmenistan) and Karakalpakstan (Uzbekistan) (1). However, few data are available for the Caucasian region and published reports have focused mainly on prisons (2,3).

We report a drug resistance survey for first- and second-line anti-TB drugs conducted in Abkhazia, a Caucasian region of 8,600 km² with approximately 250,000 inhabitants, at the western end of Georgia on the Black Sea. The collapse of the Soviet

Union lead to disruption of TB control activities in all Eastern bloc regions (4). In Abkhazia, the shortage and poor quality of drugs, self-medication, and poor adherence to the therapy became even more evident during the war with Georgia in 1993 and the international embargo that followed. A TB program based on the World Organization/International Health Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (WHO/IUATLD) recommendations was initiated in Abkhazia with the support of Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) in 1999. In 2000, monitoring of drug resistance was started for new cases and previously treated case-patients. The study was performed in collaboration with the Guliripchi TB Hospital, MSF, and the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS), a WHO/IUATLD Supranational Reference Laboratory for anti-TB drug resistance.

Sputa were collected from all casepatients attending Gulirip-chi TB Hospital in Sukhumi, the capital of Abkhazia, from September 2000 to April 2004. Patients were either referred by their practitioners or came spontaneously because TB was suspected. Diagnosis, treatment, and hospitalization were provided free. Samples were treated as previously described (5). Of 489 sputa collected from individual patients, 447 were culture positive (246 from new casepatients and 201 from previously treated case-patients) and 42 were culture negative; of these, >90% showed a negative, doubtful, or 1+ smear result. Susceptibility to firstline (streptomycin, isoniazid, rifampin, and ethambutol) and second-line (kana-mycin, ethionamide, capreomycin, cycloserine, p-aminosalicylic acid, and ofloxacin) drugs was determined by the proportion method on Middlebrook 7H10 agar. The critical concentrations used were streptomycin, 2 µg/mL; isoniazid, 0.2 μg/mL; rifampin, 1 μg/mL; ethambutol, 5 μ g/mL; kanamycin, 5 μ g/mL;

ethionamide, 5 µg/mL; capreomycin, 10 µg/mL; *p*-aminosalicylic acid, 2 µg/mL; and ofloxacin, 2 µg/mL (6–8). Cycloserine was used at a concentration of 30 µg/mL (9). If a strain was resistant to \geq 1 first-line drugs, the susceptibility to all second-line drugs was determined.

Data on resistance to the first- and second-line drugs are given in the Table. The strains isolated from 35.8% of the new case-patients and 57.2% of the previously treated case-patients were resistant to ≥ 1 first-line drugs. The highest monoresistance was seen for isoniazid and streptomycin in both new and previously treated casepatients while monoresistance to rifampin and ethambutol was low (<1%). Multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains (i.e., strains resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin) were observed in 4.9% of the new cases and 25.4% of the previously treated case-patients. Strains resistant to isoniazid and streptomycin were isolated from 6.9% of the new cases and 8% of the previously treated case-patients. Resistance to second-line drugs was high (15.9% in new cases and 35.7% in previously treated case-patients), with the highest values being observed for kanamycin (4.5% in new cases and 21.7% in previously treated case-patients) and ethionamide (8% in new cases and 16.5% in previously treated casepatients). Twenty-five percent and 52.9% of the MDR strains isolated from new and previously treated casepatients, respectively, showed resistance to ≥ 1 second-line drugs.

Few data have been reported on drug resistance to first- and secondline drugs in the former Soviet Union and in the Caucasian region (1–4). Overall, in Abkhazia, monoresistance to isoniazid was higher than in Karakalpakstan and Dashoguz (1), while monoresistance to streptomycin was lower. MDR-TB in new and previously treated case-patients showed levels intermediate between these 2 regions. Resistance to kanamycin

LETTERS

and ethionamide was 14.3% and 12.8%, respectively, while resistance to ofloxacin was low (1.5%). Fluoroquinolones have not been commonly used in Abkhazia and former regions of the Soviet Union. Currently, regimens for the treatment of MDR-TB in Abkhazia combine an intensive phase for a minimum of 6 months with at least 4 drugs to which the MTB strain is susceptible, including 1 parenteral agent and 1 fluoroquinolone (ofloxacin), followed by a continuation phase of at least 15 months with \geq 3 drugs.

This is the first survey reporting drug susceptibility data for MTB within the Caucasus. It indicates that the prevalence of MDR strains is similar to that in other central Asia

uberculosis strains collected in Abkhazia from September 2000 to April 2004*			
	No. new	No. previously	Total no. (%)
T -4-1444	Cases (%)		447 (400)
l otal tested	246 (100)	201 (100)	447 (100)
Any first-line resistance	88 (35.8)	115 (57.2)	203 (45.4)
Monoresistance			
H only	31 (12.6)	28 (13.9)	59 (13.2)
S only	20 (8.1)	11 (5.5)	31 (6.9)
R only	1 (<1)	1 (<1)	2 (<1)
E only	2 (<1)	1 (<1)	3 (<1)
Any drug resistance			
Any H resistance	65 (26.4)	102 (50.7)	167 (37.4)
Any S resistance	51 (20.7)	80 (39.8)	131 (29.3)
Any R resistance	13 (5.3)	52 (25.9)	65 (14.5)
Any E resistance	14 (5.7)	35 (17.4)	49 (11)
H and R resistance			
MDR†	12 (4.9)	51 (25.4)	63 (14.1)
HRES only	7 (2.8)	24 (11.9)	31 (6.9)
HRS only	4 (1.6)	22 (10.9)	26 (5.8)
HRE only	0	3 (1.5)	3 (<1)
HR only	1 (<1)	2 (<1)	3 (<1)
H + other resistances			· · ·
HS only	17 (6.9)	16 (8)	33 (7.4)
HES only	3 (1.2)	7 (3.5)	10 (2.2)
HE only	2 (<1)	ò	2 (<1)
R + other resistances (RE, RS,	0	0	0
Total tested to second line	99 (100)	115 (100)	202 (100)
drugs	00 (100)	115 (100)	203 (100)
Any second-line resistance	14 (15.9)	41 (35.7)	55 (27.1)
KM	4 (4.5)	25 (21.7)	29 (14.3)
ETH	7 (8)	19 (16.5)	26 (12.8)
CM	3 (3.4)	6 (5.2)	9 (4.4)
PAS	2 (2.3)	4 (3.5)	6 (3)
OFL	0	3 (2.6)	3 (1.5)
CS	0	0	0
Total MDR strains resistant to	3 (25)	27 (52.9)	30 (47.6)
second-line drugs‡			
MDR + KM	2 (16.7)	10 (19.6)	12 (19)
MDR + ETH	0	5 (9.8)	5 (7.9)
MDR + KM + ETH	0	5 (9.8)	5 (7.9)
MDR + others§	1 (8.3)	7 (13.7)	8 (12.7)

Table First-line and second line antituberculosis drug resistance in 447 Mycobacterium

*H, isoniazid; S, streptomycin; R, rifampin; E, ethambutol; KM, kanamycin; ETH, ethionamide; CM, capreomycin; PAS, *p*-aminosalicylic acid; OFL, ofloxacin; CS, cycloserine.

†MDR, multidrug resistant (resistant to at least H and R).

‡Values in parenthesis are the percentages of MDR strains.

§For new cases: MDR + KM + CM + PAS (1 strain); for previously treated cases: MDR + KM + CM (2 strains), MDR + KM + PAS (1 strain), MDR + KM + ETH + CM (1 strain), MDR + ETH + OFL (1 strain), MDR + PAS (1 strain), MDR + PAS + CM (1 strain).

regions (1). Our results are representative of the present situation in Abkhazia since sampling systematically covered all TB cases for the period examined. The Guliripchi TB Hospital of Sukhumi is the only TB treatment center in the region, and all cases were included in the study. Overall, our data show that secondline drug resistance is present in Abkhazia, particularly among cases with MDR, and suggest the adoption of strategies for access and correct use of second-line drugs (10).

Acknowledgments

We thank Antonio Cassone and Federico Giannoni for discussions and critically reading the manuscript. We also thank Federica Sementilli for technical assistance.

This research was approved by the Ministry of Health of Abkhazia and supported in part by European Community grant QLK-CT-2002-01612 (LONG-DRUG study).

Manuela Pardini,*1 Elisabetta Iona,*1 Francis Varaine,† Hayk Karakozian,† Herchanik Arzumanian,‡ Lara Brunori,* Graziella Orefici,* the LONG-DRUG Study Group,² and Lanfranco Fattorini*

*Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy; †Médecins Sans Frontières, Paris, France; and ‡Guliripchi Tuberculosis Hospital, Sukhumi, Abkhazia

¹These authors contributed equally to this study.

²The members of the LONG-DRUG study group are Marco Rinaldo Oggioni, Francesca Meacci, and Claudia Trappetti (Dipartimento di Biologia Molecolare, Siena Italy); Francesco Checchi and Maryline Bonnet (Epicentre, Paris, France); Graziella Orefici, Lanfranco Fattorini, and Manuela Pardini (Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy); Peter W. Andrew, Mike Barer, and Hasan Yesilkaya (University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom); Heinz Rinder (LGL, Oberschleißheim, Germany); Sabine Rüsch-Gerdes and Stefan Niemann (Research Centre Borstel, Borstel, Germany); Germano Orrù (University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy); Francis Varaine (Médecins Sans Frontières, Paris, France); and Thierry Jarosz, (3Es, Paris, France).

References

- Cox HS, Orozco JD, Male R, Ruesch-Gerdes S, Falzon D, Small I, et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in central Asia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10:865–72.
- Aerts A, Habouzit M, Mschiladze L, Malakmadze N, Sadradze N, Menteshashvili O, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in prisons of the ex-USSR state Georgia: results of a nationwide prevalence survey among sentenced inmates. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2000;4:1104–10.
- Pfyffer GE, Strassle A, van Gorkum T, Portaels F, Rigouts L, Mathieu C, et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in prison inmates, Azerbaijan. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001;7:855–61.
- Balabanova Y, Fedorin I, Kuznetsov S, Graham C, Ruddy M, Atun R, et al. Antimicrobial prescribing patterns for respiratory diseases including tuberculosis in Russia: a possible role in drug resistance? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004;54:673–9.
- Pardini M, Varaine F, Iona E, Arzumanian E, Checchi F, Oggioni MR, et al. Cetylpyridinium chloride is useful for isolation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from sputa subjected to long-term storage. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:442–4.
- Pfyffer GE, Brown-Elliott BA, Wallace RJ, Jr. *Mycobacterium*: general characteristics, isolation and staining procedures. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Pfaller MA, Yolken RH, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology, 8th edition. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 2003. p. 532–59.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Susceptibility testing of mycobacteria, nocardia, and other aerobic actinomycetes; approved standards. Vol. 23, no. 18. M24-A. Wayne (PA): National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards; 2003.
- Inderlied CB, Pfyffer GE. Susceptibility test methods: mycobacteria. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Pfaller MA, Yolken RH, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology, 8th edition. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 2003. p. 1149–77.
- Inderlied CB, Salfinger M. Antimicrobial agents and susceptibility tests. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Yolken RH, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology, 7th edition. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 1999. p. 1601–23.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for establishing DOTS-plus pilot projects for the management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) 2000. [cited 2005 Jan 18]. WHO/CDS/TB/2000.279. Available from http://www.who.int/gtb/ publications/dotsplus/dotspluspilot-2000-279/english/contents/html

Address for correspondence: Lanfranco Fattorini, Dipartimento di Malattie Infettive, Parassitarie e Immunomediate, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy; fax: 39-6-49387112; email: fattolan@iss.it

Endogeneity in Logistic Regression Models

To the Editor: Ethelberg et al. (1) report on a study of the determinants of hemolytic uremic syndrome resulting from Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. The dataset is relatively small, and the authors use stepwise logistic regression models to detect small differences. This indicates that the authors were aware of the limitations of the statistical power of the study. Despite this, the study has an analytic flaw that seriously reduces the statistical power of the study.

An often overlooked problem in building statistical models is that of endogeneity, a term arising from econometric analysis, in which the value of one independent variable is dependent on the value of other predictor variables. Because of this endogeneity, significant correlation can exist between the unobserved factors contributing to both the endogenous independent variable and the dependent variable, which results in biased estimators (incorrect regression coefficients) (2). Additionally, the correlation between the dependent variables can create significant multicollinearity, which violates the assumptions of standard regression models and results in inefficient estimators. This problem is shown by model-generated coefficient standard errors that are larger than true standard errors, which biases the interpretation towards the null hypothesis and increases the likelihood of a type II error. As a result, the power of the test of significance for an independent variable X_1 is reduced by a factor of $(1-r^2_{(1|2,3,...)})$, where $r_{(1|2,3,...)}$ is defined as the multiple correlation coefficient for the model $X_1 = f(X_2, X_3, ...)$, and all X_i are independent variables in the larger model (3,4).

The results of this study clearly show that the presence of bloody diarrhea is an endogenous variable in the model showing predictors of hemolytic uremic syndrome, in that the diarrhea is shown to be predicted by, and therefore strongly correlated with, several other variables used to predict hemolytic uremic syndrome. Similarly, Shiga toxin 1 and 2 (stx1, stx2) genes are expected to be key predictors of the presence of bloody diarrhea, independent of strain, due to the known biochemical effects of that toxin (5,6). Because the strain is in part determined by the presence of these toxins, including both strain and genotype in the model means that the standard errors for variables for the Shiga-containing strains and bloody diarrhea symptom are likely to be too high, and hence the significance levels (p values) obtained from the regression models are higher than the true probability because of a type I error.

This flaw is a particular problem with studies that use a conditional stepwise technique for including or excluding variables. The authors note that they excluded variables from the final model if the significance in initial models for those variables was less than an α level (p value) of 0.05. Given the inefficiencies due to the endogeneity of bloody diarrhea, as well as those that may result from other collinearities significant predictors were likely excluded from the study, although this cannot be confirmed from the data presented.

The problems associated with the endogeneity of bloody diarrhea can be overcome by a number of approaches.