

# Subclinical Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Infection in Human, Vietnam

Mai Quynh Le, Peter Horby, Annette Fox,  
Hien Tran Nguyen, Hang Khanh Le Nguyen,  
Phuong Mai Vu Hoang, Khanh Cong Nguyen,  
Menno D. de Jong, Rienk E. Jeeninga,  
H. Rogier van Doorn, Jeremy Farrar,  
and Heiman F.L. Wertheim

Laboratory-confirmed cases of subclinical infection with avian influenza A(H5N1) virus in humans are rare, and the true number of these cases is unknown. We describe the identification of a laboratory-confirmed subclinical case in a woman during an influenza A(H5N1) contact investigation in northern Vietnam.

In 2012, a debate was published in *Science* about the number of humans who have experienced subclinical infection with avian influenza A H5 and how this unknown denominator could affect the case-fatality rate reported by the World Health Organization (1,2). The controversy rests, to a large extent, on interpretation of serologic tests used to detect prior H5 infection and the paucity of virologically confirmed subclinical or mild cases. Here we describe a case of subclinical avian influenza A H5 infection, confirmed both virologically and serologically.

## The Case

The subclinical case was detected in 2011 during a contact investigation of a 40-year-old man suspected of having influenza A(H5N1) virus infection. The man's household had sick poultry that were consumed by household members. The chickens roamed close to the sleeping area of the household members. The index case-patient, his daughter, and his daughter-in-law were involved in slaughtering and preparing the chickens. The index case-patient had fever, cough, dyspnea, and diarrhea that progressed over 2 days,

leading to hospital admission. Despite intensive care and treatment with oseltamivir and antibiotics, the disease progressed, and he died 2 days later.

A throat swab taken from the index case-patient on day 3 of illness was tested by reverse transcription PCR, and results were positive for influenza A(H5N1) virus. Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) and microneutralization (MN) tests for H5N1-specific antibodies were negative in samples taken during the acute phase of illness (online Technical Appendix, [wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/19/10/13-0730-Techapp1.pdf](http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/19/10/13-0730-Techapp1.pdf)).

On day 5 of illness of the index case-patient, a contact investigation was initiated. Throat swab specimens were collected from 4 household members and 1 close contact of the index case-patient: his spouse (age 47 years), daughter (age 18 years), daughter-in-law (age 25 years), and grandson (age 1 year) and an unrelated man (age 43 years). None of the contacts had signs or symptoms. Infection control measures were initiated, and all household members were given oseltamivir (75 mg/d) for 1 week and instructed to seek immediate health care if fever or respiratory symptoms developed. Results of HI testing of serum samples collected during the acute illness phase of the index case-patient were negative.

The human throat swab samples were tested by conventional RT-PCR. The sample from the index case-patient's daughter, collected 6 days after the woman had slaughtered a chicken, was positive for influenza A/H5 by real-time RT-PCR, and virus was recovered on day 10 of inoculation on MDCK cells (online Technical Appendix). The virus was identified by sequencing as influenza A/H5, clade 2.3.2.1. The woman had no signs or symptoms at the time the throat swab was collected, nor did she report any symptoms to health authorities during the subsequent week. Chickens were also tested, and 4 chickens in the commune tested positive for influenza A(H5N1) virus by RT-PCR of throat and cloacal swab specimens.

Repeat throat swab specimens collected from the 4 household contacts 6 days after the initial collection yielded negative test results for influenza/H5. Serologic testing showed seroconversion only in the woman with subclinical infection; her HI titer increased from <20 to 160 against both clade 2.3.4 and 2.3.2.1 viruses (Table 1). During a second contact investigation 1 month later, 20 other members of the commune were screened by RT-PCR of throat swab specimens and serologic testing. All results were negative for influenza A(H5N1) virus.

The full genome of the identified virus strain (A/CM32/2011) was sequenced (online Technical Appendix) and confirmed to be clade 2.3.2.1 by using the Highly Pathogenic H5N1 Clade Classification Tool (3). The open reading frames of the genes were translated and aligned with all clade 2.3.2.1 sequences available from

Author affiliations: National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Vietnam (M.Q.Le, H.T. Nguyen, H.K.L. Nguyen, P.M.V. Hoang, K.C. Nguyen); Wellcome Trust Major Overseas Programme, Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (P. Horby, A. Fox, M.D. de Jong, H.R. van Doorn, J. Farrar, H.F.L. Wertheim); Centre for Tropical Medicine, Oxford, United Kingdom (P. Horby, A. Fox, H.R. van Doorn, J. Farrar, H.F.L. Wertheim); and Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (M.D. de Jong, R.E. Jeeninga)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1910.130730>

Table 1. HHI and MN assay titers for serum samples from woman with subclinical influenza A(H5N1) virus infection, Vietnam, 2011

Clade and sample	HHI	MN
Clade 1		
First sample, day 5	<20	ND
Second sample, day 11	40	<10
Third sample, day 41	40	<10
Clade 2.3.2.1		
First sample, day 5	<20	ND
Second sample, day 11	80	80
Third sample, day 41	160	160
Clade 2.3.4		
First sample, day 5	<20	ND
Second sample, day 11	80	40
Third sample, day 41	160	40

\*Sample days are days since disease onset in index case-patient. HHI, horse hemagglutination inhibition; MN microneutralization; ND, not done.

the Influenza Research Database ([www.fludb.org](http://www.fludb.org)). Amino acid changes are summarized in Table 2 (Appendix, [wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/19/10/13-0730-T2.htm](http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/19/10/13-0730-T2.htm)). A phylogenetic analysis of clade 2.3.2.1 from Vietnam sequences showed a high homology between the samples, including A/CM32/2011 (Figure). To identify possible changes specific to human infection, the differences between the clade consensus and A/CM32/2011 were compared with influenza A(H5N1) virus samples from Asia. No amino acid changes were preferentially seen in human samples compared with the avian samples. A/CM32/2011 contains the N170D (4) and T172A mutations in HA that are associated with airborne transmissibility of influenza A(H5N1) virus in ferrets (5); these mutations are found in most avian influenza A(H5N1) clade 2.3.2.1 samples (Table 2, Appendix). The results indicate that the virus is a typical influenza A(H5N1) clade 2.3.2.1 virus, with no remarkable changes.

## Conclusions

We report subclinical infection with avian influenza A(H5N1) virus in a human in Vietnam, confirmed by RT-PCR, virus isolation from throat swab, and detection of specific antibodies. A subclinical case was also reported from Pakistan in 2008 (6). Sequence analysis of the Vietnam case showed that the infecting virus belonged to influenza A(H5N1) clade 2.3.2.1. This clade was first detected in poultry in northern Vietnam in early 2010 and replaced clade 2.3.4 in that area, whereas clade 1 remains predominant in southern Vietnam, with 4 confirmed cases reported in early 2012 (7). The recent clade 2.3.2.1 has evolved from clade 2.3.2 viruses that has circulated among poultry in eastern Asia since 2005 and has become predominant in several Asian countries. Since clade 2.3.2.1 viruses were initially detected in Vietnam, prevalence has increased in poultry, but no associated rise in detection of human cases has been observed (7). Similarly, clade 2.3.2.1 virus has been circulating in poultry in India, but no human cases

have been reported (8). The HA sequence of this virus is similar to an influenza A(H5N1) virus detected by RT-PCR in a 3-year-old patient with influenza-like illness investigated as part of the National Influenza System Surveillance in 2010 (M.Q. Le, unpub. data). This patient had mild symptoms and survived, which raises the possibility that this strain represents a less virulent form of influenza A(H5N1) in humans.

In our investigation, the case-patient with subclinical infection was treated with oseltamivir while she was asymptomatic, which may explain why she did not develop clinical disease. Studies using human volunteers indicate that seasonal influenza virus shedding may occur  $\approx$ 24 hours before symptom onset in 25%–30% of patients (9). Likewise, community cohort studies show presymptomatic shedding and asymptomatic shedding in 15%–20% of patients infected with seasonal influenza viruses and with influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus (10–12). Oseltamivir is known to prevent disease when given before inoculation in human volunteers and to shorten duration and lessen the severity of illness in natural infection (13), but we found no evidence in clinical and volunteer studies from the literature suggesting that oseltamivir may prevent clinical illness once detectable infection has been established, as we found in this subclinical case (13,14). The patient we investigated probably was exposed during slaughtering of a chicken 6 days before her positive throat swab was collected. However, because chickens in the commune tested positive at the time of the contact investigation, ongoing exposure to influenza A(H5N1) cannot be excluded as the source of infection. Furthermore, the patient may not have reported symptoms to the health authorities for personal reasons.

Thus far, evidence of subclinical influenza A(H5N1) virus infections has been collected on the basis of serologic testing only (1), but it is unclear whether serologic testing reliably detects subclinical cases. According to the World Health Organization, MN titers  $\geq$ 80 are indicative of infection but must be confirmed by a second serologic test because of the possibility of cross-reactivity (1). The interpretation of results from a single serum sample is limited by the specificity or sensitivity of serologic tests, and viral shedding times may mean that infected cases may be missed. Estimating the incidence of asymptomatic influenza A(H5N1) virus infection in humans exposed to sick poultry or human case-patients requires further careful study using early collection of swab samples and paired acute and convalescent serum samples.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, the Preventive Medical Centre Bac Kan, and the Academic Medical Center for their support.




Figure. Phylogenetic analysis of avian influenza A(H5N1) virus clade 2.3.2.1 hemagglutinin DNA sequences from Vietnam compared with other isolates. Solid black box indicates isolate from the subclinical human case investigated in this study, A/CM32/2011; dashed boxes indicate sequences from Vietnam in 2011; gray shading indicates World Health Organization vaccine candidates A/common magpie/Hong Kong/5052/2007 and A/Hubei/1/2010 for clade 2.3.2.1. The sequences were downloaded from the Influenza Research Database ([www.fludb.org](http://www.fludb.org)), imported into MEGA 5.2 ([www.megasoftware.net](http://www.megasoftware.net)), and aligned by using MUSCLE (EMBL-EBI, Cambridgeshire, UK). The neighbor-joining tree was generated from the aligned sequences using standard settings. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site.

This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust (UK), the South East Asian Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network (SEAICRN, Vietnam), and the European Community's Seventh

Framework Programme under the project "European Management Platform for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious disease Entities" (EMPERIE).

Dr Mai Quynh Le is a virologist and the head of the National Influenza Center of Vietnam at the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology in Hanoi. Her research interests are the evolution and co-evolution of animal and human influenza viruses and zoonotic infectious diseases.

## References

1. Wang TT, Parides MK, Palese P. Seroevidence for H5N1 influenza infections in humans: meta-analysis. *Science*. 2012;335:1463. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1218888>
2. Van Kerkhove MD, Riley S, Lipsitch M, Guan Y, Monto AS, Webster RG, et al. Comment on "Seroevidence for H5N1 influenza infections in humans: meta-analysis." *Science*. 2012;336:1506; author reply 1506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1221434>
3. Squires RB, Noronha J, Hunt V, Garcia-Sastre A, Macken C, Baumgarth N, et al. Influenza Research Database: an integrated bioinformatics resource for influenza research and surveillance. *Influenza Other Respi Viruses*. 2012;6:404–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00331.x>
4. Herfst S, Schrauwen EJ, Linster M, Chutinimitkul S, de Wit E, Munster VJ, et al. Airborne transmission of influenza A/H5N1 virus between ferrets. *Science*. 2012;336:1534–41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1213362>
5. Imai M, Watanabe T, Hatta M, Das SC, Ozawa M, Shinya K, et al. Experimental adaptation of an influenza H5 HA confers respiratory droplet transmission to a reassortant H5 HA/H1N1 virus in ferrets. *Nature*. 2012;486:420–8.
6. Human cases of avian influenza A (H5N1) in North-West Frontier Province, Pakistan, October–November 2007. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2008;83:359–64.
7. Nguyen T, Rivailler P, Davis CT, Thi Hoa D, Balish A, Hoang Dang N, et al. Evolution of highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1) virus populations in Vietnam between 2007 and 2010. *Virology*. 2012;432:405–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2012.06.021>
8. Nagarajan S, Tosh C, Smith DK, Peiris JS, Murugkar HV, Sridevi R, et al. Avian influenza (H5N1) virus of clade 2.3.2 in domestic poultry in India. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7:e31844. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031844>
9. Carrat F, Vergu E, Ferguson NM, Lemaître M, Cauchemez S, Leach S, et al. Time lines of infection and disease in human influenza: a review of volunteer challenge studies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2008;167:775–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm375>
10. Suess T, Renschmidt C, Schink SB, Schweiger B, Heider A, Milde J, et al. Comparison of shedding characteristics of seasonal influenza virus (sub)types and influenza A(H1N1)pdm09; Germany, 2007–2011. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7:e51653. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051653>
11. Gu Y, Komiya N, Kamiya H, Yasui Y, Taniguchi K, Okabe N. Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 transmission during presymptomatic phase, Japan. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17:1737–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1709.101411>
12. Patrozou E, Mermel LA. Does influenza transmission occur from asymptomatic infection or prior to symptom onset? *Public Health Rep*. 2009;124:193–6.
13. Hayden FG, Treanor JJ, Fritz RS, Lobo M, Betts RF, Miller M, et al. Use of the oral neuraminidase inhibitor oseltamivir in experimental human influenza: randomized controlled trials for prevention and treatment. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1240–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.13.1240>
14. Hayden FG, Jennings L, Robson R, Schiff G, Jackson H, Rana B, et al. Oral oseltamivir in human experimental influenza B infection. *Antivir Ther*. 2000;5:205–13.

Address for correspondence: Mai Quynh Le, National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Yersin Street 1, Hanoi, Vietnam; email: lom9@hotmail.com

**SUBSCRIBE**

## EMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASES®

YES, I would like to receive Emerging Infectious Diseases. Please add me to your mailing list.

**Return:**

**Email:**  
eideditor@cdc.gov

**Fax:** 404 639-1954

**Mail to:**

EID Editor  
CDC/NCID/MS D61  
1600 Clifton Rd, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30333

Number on mailing label:(required) \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Full mailing address: (BLOCK LETTERS)

Full text free online at [www.cdc.gov/eid](http://www.cdc.gov/eid)

# Subclinical Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Infection in Human, Vietnam

## Technical Appendix

### Detailed Methods

#### Influenza Isolation

A 100  $\mu$ L sample inoculated onto MDCK cells (Madin-Darby canine kidney cells-American Type Culture Collection-ATCC, USA), which were maintained in minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 5% newborn calf serum (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and antibiotics at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. All experiments were performed in a biosafety level 3 containment laboratory at NIHE, Hanoi. MDCK cells were checked daily for cytopathic effects (CPE) and virus was harvested and stored in -80 C when CPE reached 80%.

#### Serology

Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) assays were performed as described (1) by using receptor-destroying enzyme (RDE) treated patient and reference sera at a starting dilution of 1:20, 8 HA units of virus (in 50  $\mu$ L), and 1% v/v horse erythrocytes. The viruses, inactivated by 1%  $\beta$  propiolactone (BPL), used were: A/Vietnam/HN 30408/2004, A/HN 30850/2005, and A/CM32/2011, representing clade 1, clade 2.3.4, and clade 2.3.2.1, respectively. HI titers were read up to 60 minutes after the addition of erythrocytes and reported as the reciprocal of the highest serum dilution causing complete inhibition of agglutination.

Microneutralization (MN) assays were performed according to WHO protocols, using 100 x TCID<sub>50</sub> of live viruses as above (2). Virus was incubated with 2-fold serial dilutions of sera starting at 1:10 and then incubated with MDCK cells overnight before virus quantitation by ELISA to detect influenza nucleoprotein. The titer was reported as the reciprocal of the highest dilution that reduced infection by at least 50%.

## Sequencing

The RNA was isolated from the virus (1<sup>st</sup> passage) isolated from the subclinical case and used for an 8-segment RT-PCR to generate the full length 8 influenza segments as described previously (3). The RT-PCR reaction was performed with primers common-uni12R (5'-GCCGGAGCTCTGCAGATATCAGCRAAAGCAGG-3'), and common-uni13 (5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACAGTAGAAACAAGG-3') using the One-Step RT-PCR kit High Fidelity (Invitrogen, NY, USA). The cDNA was sheared (Adaptive Focused Acoustics, Covaris, USA) to yield fragments ranging from 300 to 1000 bp and y-primers from the Rapid library 454 kit (Roche, USA) were ligated to the fragments with use of an automated SPRI works Fragment Library System II (For Roche GS FLX\* DNA Sequencer) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). The quantity of properly ligated fragments was determined based on the incorporation efficiency of the fluorescent primers using a FLUOstar OPTIMA (BMG Labtech, Germany). Emulsion PCR, bead recovery and enrichment were performed manually according to the manufacturer's protocol. The sample was sequenced by the Laboratory of Genome Analysis at the Academic Medical Center (Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

The resulting reads were analyzed as described before (3) and used to generate a consensus sequence for each segment. Since the coverage of the larger segment was relatively low, an additional PCR was performed for the PA, PB1 and PB2 segments and sequenced with standard Sanger sequencing using degenerate primers for H5N1 (4). The resulting consensus sequences were analyzed with the blast and H5N1 clade identifier at IRD site (5). All available clade 2.3.2.1 sequences were compared with the sample sequence. The differences between the samples and the clade 2.3.2.1 consensus were subsequently compared to Asian avian human H5N1 samples.

## References

1. Stephenson I, Wood JM, Nicholson KG, Zambon MC. Sialic acid receptor specificity on erythrocytes affects detection of antibody to avian influenza haemagglutinin. *J Med Virol.* 2003;70:391–8. [PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.10408](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.10408)

2. World Health Organization. Manual for the laboratory diagnosis and virological surveillance of influenza. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
3. Watson SJ, Welkers MR, Depledge DP, Coulter E, Breuer JM, de Jong MD, et al. Viral population analysis and minority-variant detection using short read next-generation sequencing. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2013;368:20120205. [PubMed](#) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0205>
4. Herfst S, Schrauwen EJ, Linster M, Chutinimitkul S, de Wit E, Munster VJ, et al. Airborne transmission of influenza A/H5N1 virus between ferrets. *Science*. 2012;336:1534–41. [PubMed](#) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1213362>
5. Squires RB, Noronha J, Hunt V, Garcia-Sastre A, Macken C, Baumgarth N, et al. Influenza research database: an integrated bioinformatics resource for influenza research and surveillance. *Influenza Other Respi Viruses*. 2012;6:404–16. [PubMed](#) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00331.x>