

hidden leprosy cases, and environmental reservoir) of prolonged exposure of *M. lepromatosis* to the study patient in his vicinity. Thus, the assertion of Virk et al. (1) that United States citizens can acquire *M. lepromatosis* when traveling to Mexico or other leprosy-endemic areas as tourists is misleading and demands extensive research to prove it.

In addition, it is intriguing to note that host genetic determinants can influence the acquisition and onset of leprosy (5). Therefore, the inference of a single case study cannot be generalized for all citizens of the United States. The data from these reports suggest that the epidemiologic studies of leprosy in nonendemic areas should consider travel history to delineate this issue.

References

1. Virk A, Pritt B, Patel R, Uhl JR, Bezalel SA, Gibson LE, et al. *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* lepromatous leprosy in US citizen who traveled to disease-endemic areas. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2017;23:1864–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2311.171104>
2. Jessamine PG, Desjardins M, Gillis T, Scollard D, Jamieson F, Broukhanski G, et al. Leprosy-like illness in a patient with *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* from Ontario, Canada. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2012;11:229–33.
3. Araújo S, Lobato J, Reis EM, Souza DO, Gonçalves MA, Costa AV, et al. Unveiling healthy carriers and subclinical infections among household contacts of leprosy patients who play potential roles in the disease chain of transmission. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2012;107(Suppl 1):55–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762012000900010>
4. Mohanty PS, Naaz F, Katara D, Misba L, Kumar D, Dwivedi DK, et al. Viability of *Mycobacterium leprae* in the environment and its role in leprosy dissemination. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2016;82:23–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0378-6323.168935>
5. Alter A, Alcaïs A, Abel L, Schurr E. Leprosy as a genetic model for susceptibility to common infectious diseases. *Hum Genet*. 2008;123:227–35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00439-008-0474-z>

Address for correspondence: Ajay Vir Singh, Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, ICMR-National JALMA Institute for Leprosy and Other Mycobacterial Diseases, Agra, Uttar Pradesh, Pin-282001, India; email: avsjalma@gmail.com

EMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASES

A Peer-Reviewed Journal Tracking and Analyzing Disease Trends

**Conference
summaries
and other reports
available online**

[https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/articles/
conference-summaries/volume-23](https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/articles/conference-summaries/volume-23)

Spread of Plague by Respiratory Droplets or Ectoparasites

Charles Morris Evans

Author affiliation: University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2405.172067>

To the Editor: Drancourt and Raoult (1) have emphasized the risk of overestimation of pneumonic plague contagion by respiratory droplets and hypothesize that only transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by ectoparasites, such as lice and fleas, by close contact with infected humans can sustain outbreaks and epidemics. The outbreak of pneumonic plague in Madagascar in 2017 (2) reminds us that plague remains a potential serious threat in locations that are relatively inaccessible or have limited capacity for a robust public health response. Records describe substantial outbreaks of pneumonic plague (3) but portray a more dangerous disease than that described by Drancourt and Raoult. High rates of transmission are possible (4) when pneumonic plague is spreading through social networks, in a way similar to that observed in West Africa during the recent epidemic of Ebola virus disease (5). The Ebola virus is not thought to be easily transmitted but is clearly capable of generating a sustained epidemic.

The role of ectoparasites in the transmission of *Y. pestis* should not be dismissed. However, until a substantial epidemic has been documented with this proven etiology, this explanation of plagues, both historical and modern, must remain in the realm of conjecture.

References

1. Drancourt M, Raoult D. Investigation of pneumonic plague, Madagascar. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24:183. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2401.170760>
2. World Health Organization. Plague outbreak Madagascar. External situation report 11. 2017 Nov 17 [cited 2018 Mar 6]. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/259479/1/Ex-PlagueMadagascar17112017.pdf>
3. Teh WL. The second pneumonic plague epidemic in Manchuria, 1920–21: I. A general survey of the outbreak and its course. *J Hyg (Lond)*. 1923;21:262–88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022172400031508>
4. Evans CM, Egan JR, Hall I. Pneumonic plague in Johannesburg, South Africa, 1904. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24:95–102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2401.161817>
5. Faye O, Boëlle PY, Heleze E, Faye O, Loucoubar C, Magassouba N, et al. Chains of transmission and control of Ebola virus disease in Conakry, Guinea, in 2014: an observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2015;15:320–6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(14\)71075-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)71075-8)

Address for correspondence: Charles Morris Evans, University of Birmingham, School of History and Cultures, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; email: c.m.evans@bham.ac.uk